DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 097 971 PS 007 492

AUTHOR Tracy, Russel L.: And Others

TITLE Proximity Seeking in the First Year of Life as

Related to Attachment.

PUB DATE Mar 74

NOTE 12p.: Paper presented at the Biennial Southeastern Conference of the Society for Research in Child

Development (3rd, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, March

1974)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS Affection: *Behavior Patterns: Childhood Needs: *Data

Collection: *Emotional Development: *Infancy: Infant Behavior: Motor Development: Observation: *Parent

Child Relationship: Tables (Data)

IDENTIFIERS *Attachment Behavior; Naturalistic Observation

ABSTRACT

This paper presents some findings of a detailed analysis of infants' approach behavior in a familiar, naturalistic setting. A total of 26, white, middle-class infant-mother pairs were observed in the home every three weeks during the first year of the child's life. Instances of infant approach to both mother and observer were coded from the narrative accounts recorded by the observer. A median age of 30 weeks was determined for the onset of approach behavior. Discussion focuses on the following areas: approaches to mother only, in context ("following," "greeting," or "spontaneous"), and whether partial or full; types of infant touching behavior of mother and observer; and types of behavior (social, object-oriented, social plus object oriented, or mere proximity) toward mother and observer. Data tables are included. (ED)

SRCD, March, 1974

Proximity Seeking in the First Year of Life as Related to Attachment

Russel L. Tracy

Michael E. Lamb

BEST GOPY AVAILABLE

and

Mary D. Salter Ainsworth

Johns Hopkins University

An attachment may be defined as an affectional bond, which promotes the maintenance of a certain degree of proximity to the figure to whom one is attached (Ainsworth, 1972). From the third quarter of life onward, locomotor approach assumes perhaps the major role among infant behaviors which serve the attachment bond. Today, I would like to present some findings of the first, detailed analysis of approach behavior in a familiar, naturalistic setting. I will first discuss infant approach to mother to provide an overview of this behavior, and then will present a comparison of approaches to mother vs. to a relatively unfamiliar person to show the ways in which differential proximity seeking may serve as criteria for an attachment bond. But first, our method.

We studied the 26, white, middle-class infant-mother pairs with which Mary Ainsworth has been conducting a longitudinal investigation of attachment. The sample was obtained thorugh local

200 S

pediatricians: 16 infants were boys: 10 girls. The raw data consist of detailed narrative accounts of infant behavior in the home during observations spaced every 3 weeks over the first year of life. During a visit the observer would typically assume a responsive, but relatively non-interventive role while making notes.

Beginning with the enset of infant locomotion, all instances of infant approach to any person were coded from the narratives. From each coded approach, we tabulated various descriptive aspects, which I will present when reporting our findings.

We found the onset of approach behavior to occur at a median age of 30 weeks. From the onset, infants approached relatively unfamiliar persons as well as attachment figures. Eighty-three percent of the approaches occurred in the fourth quarter of the first year. During the third quarter, infants were just beginning to be capable of locomotion and were more frequently confined to playpens so that they were not as free to approach.

We identified 4 main contexts in which approach occurred. They were: following, elicited by a person's leaving the room, greeting, elicited by a person's entering the room, 3 additional types of elicited approaches including approach in response to a person's movement about the room, offer of food or toys, or call to the infant, and spontaneous approaches—those seemingly undertaken entirely on the infant's own initiative. An approach was called 'full' if the approach left the infant 3 feet or less from the person approached, and "partial" if it left him more than 3 feet from the figure: (Full



approaches were also analyzed for touching and other features, which I'll discuss a bit later.)

The first row of Table 1, which you have before you, presents
the portions of total approaches to mother during the fourth quarter
which occurred in each approach context. About half all approaches
occurred spontaneously, and this proportion rises to two-thirds if
we consider only full approaches in row 2. This suggests that even
during the first year, the infant is an active initiator rather than
a passive respondent in his approach to his attachment figures.

Also worth notice are the further findings. First, Table 1 indicates that approaches in the context of greeting accounted for a
very small proportion of total approaches to mother. Second, now
turning to Table 2, we find that approach in the context of following,
but not in any other context, is mainly partial in degree. I'll
have more to say about these two points later.

We called an approach "distressed" if, at any time during the process of locomoting toward a figure, the infant rade unhappy sounds, fussed, or cried. Only 12% of all fourth quarter approaches to mother involved distress, so defined. If we return to Table 1, row 3, we see that a distressed approach was most likely to have occurred in the context of following when mother left the oroom. Most of the remaining distressed approaches occurred in spontaneous circumstances. We think the main point of these results is that they show approach to attachment figures is rarely motivated by manifest distress or anxiety in the familiar, home environment.



Δ

Given the theoretical importance of contact seeking to attachment theory, we were particularly interested in how often infants expressed: an appeal to be picked up and held after having fully approached : their mothers. A pick-up appeal was scored if the infant displayed fussing, crying, reaching, clambering up or attempting to do so, and clutching at or clinging to the figure. During the third quarter, 33% of full approaches to mother involved such appeals to be picked up; ... the fourth quarter figure was quite comparable at 28%. About two- thirds of the pick-up appeals were displayed immediately after the infant achieved close proximity to his mother. As might be expected, an infant who had fully approached his mother when he was distressed was much more likely to appeal to be held by her than when his affective state during approach had been relatively tranquil. In the third quarter, mothers rejected pick-up appeals abou 20% of the time, but in the fourth quarter, 51% of the time. This apparent increase in maternal rejection was not significant, however, due to wide, intra-S variability.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Let us now turn to a comparison of approach to the mother with approach to a relatively unfamiliar person—the observer. This analysis concerned only those spontaneous approaches that took place when both persons were present in the room:

During both third and fourth quarters, about 60% of these spontameous approaches were made to mother; 40% to the observer, regardless
of whether the approaches were full or partial. Differentiality of
approach behavior was manifested most sharply, however, in approaches

in which a pick-up appeal was implicit, and in approaches made by the infant when he was distressed.

Infant appeals to be held, after having made a full approach, strongly discriminated approaches to mother from those to the observer. A total of 110 pick-up appeals were observed in the second half-year after approach to mother or observer. All but two of these were directed to the mother. This extreme differentiality was highly significant and seemed to confirm the importance assigned to close physical contact by the ethological-evolutionary theory of attachment (Ainsworth, 1972; Bowlby, 1969). Parenthetically, I would like to mention that, for those 14 infants who were also seen to approach their fathers, pick-up appeals to father occurred aimost equally often as to mother, following a full approach by the infant.

Let us now consider mere touching, rather than the close physical contact implicit in being picked up and held. You can see from Table 3, row 1, that babies were not significantly more likely to touch the mother than they were to touch the observer. We distinguished between social and non-social touching-non-social touching being touching the person in the course of expressing primary interest in an object near or possessed by the person. Social touching tended to occur more frequently with the mother than with the observer, although the significance level is only 7%. In contrast, touching that involved a pick-up appeal was displayed exclusively to the mother. It accounted for 13% of all touching of the mother, and did not occur with the visitor at all.

PS 007492

Of 48 instances of approach made by the infant when he was distressed, all but one were made to mother rather than observer. Also highly differential, this finding strongly supported Bowlby's hypothesis that the intensity of attachment behavior is heightened by organismic states such as distress or anxiety. Bowlby views such heightening as favoring survival by ensuring that the child remains sufficiently near a protective attachment figure in potentially dangerous situations.

In our search for infant behaviors which might differentiate approach to the mother from approach to the observer, we examined infant behaviors displayed in proximity to each figure. For each instance of full approach to the mother and to observer, we classified infant behavior which was displayed upon achievement of close proximity into one of four mutually exclusive categories. These were: social (including smiling, vocalizing, social touching, appealing to be picked up, and showing toys), object-oriented (including an interest in an object adjacent to or possessed by the person), social and object-oriented (a combination of one or more social and one or more object-oriented behavior, such as smiling at the person while reaching for an ashtray), and mere proximity (which involved being near a person without exhibiting clear social or object-directed behavior).

PEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 4 presents the results. When relative proportions of full approaches involving the various types of behavior in proximity were examined, a greater percentage of approaches to mother were social.

Object-oriented approaches, on the other hand, were more than three times as frequent, proportionately, to the observer. The two remaining behavioral

Tracy et al.

7

classes did not significantly distinguish between approach to mother versus to observer. It appears that infants are more sociable with their mothers but more interested in object play and exploration following approach to the observer.

A finding of considerable interest to us was the apparent "inconsistency" of the infants' behavior toward the observer. More specifically, why should a baby who so readily approaches and even touches
the observer almost never—eal to be picked up by him? One possibility is that infants experience wariness (or "stranger anxiety") which
inhibits the expression of this highly social gesture. This account
does not seem cogent for two reasons. First, it makes difficult explanation of why relative frequency of mere touching did not prove
to be differential to mother, since a wary infant would not be expected
to touch a person who was eliciting wariness. Second, wariness would
presumably lead the infant to spend less time in proximity, having
achieved the latter, to observers as compared with mother. This time
differential, with longer periods spent in proximity after approach to
mother, was observed for only a small fraction of approaches.

It seems more likely that the infant approaches and touches the observer, but does not appeal to him for holding, because the state of being held by the observer would reduce the infant's perceived access to his mother. The importance of the perceived accessibility of one's attachment figures has also been stressed by Bowlby (1973). This concept is also relevant to approach to mother in the contexts of greeting and following. Most approaches in following are partial in degree—they do not result in close proximity. It is suggested



Tracy et al. 8/9

attempting to increase her accessibility as perceived by him to a more comfortable level. Since this increase can usually be achieved via a moderate increase in proximity, the predominance of partial approach in following seems explained. The very low incidence of approach to mother in greeting yields to a similar analysis. By her return to the room mother renders herself easily accessible to her infant, thus making approach in this context seldom necessary.

In some respects the present findings may seem to disagree with those found in laboratory studies which have, for example, used Ainsworth's strange situation. Specifically, such studies have found approach to and, especially, touching of the stranger infrequent. It must be recognized, however, that laboratory situations of this kind involve much greater stress, since they use an unfamiliar setting, rather brief exposure to totally unfamiliar adults, and often separation from an attachment figure. It would appear that the stress-induced heightening of attachment behavior overrides the affiliative or social behavior displayed at home to a friendly adult who is relatively unfamiliar.

To conclude, we feel our findings represent an important first step in characterizing approach behavior in familiar, naturalistic settings, and that they demonstrate the value of detailed, descriptive analysis to the study of attachment behavior.



References.

Ainsworth, M. D. Attachment and dependency: A comparison. In

J. L. Gewirtz (Ed.) Attachment and dependency. Washington, D. C.:

Winston, 1972.

Basic Books, 1969.

Bowlby, John Attachment and loss, Volume II: Separation: Anxiety and Anger. New York: Basic Books, 1973.



Tracy, Lamb, and Ainsworth

Table 1

Fourth Quarter Infant Approaches to Sother

as Related to Approach Context

APPROACH CONTEXT

liedian Per Cent of	Spontaneous	Following	Greeting	Other-Elicited*
All Approaches	53.8	29.0	3.2	15.0
Full Approaches only	66.7	11.8	5.4	16.1
Distressed Approaches only	33.4	37.4	5.9	20.6

^{*}Sum of approaches in response to mother's calling, offering a desired object, and moving about within the room.

Table 2 Proportions of Fourth Quarter Approaches to Nother within Contexts which were Partial as vs. Full

APPROACH CONTEXT

Yedian Per Cent of Approaches which were:	Spontaneous	Following	Greeting	Other-Elicited
Ful1	91.5	24.0	72.0	91.0
Partial	8.5	76.0	28.0	9.0



Tracy, Lamb, and Ainsworth Page Two

BEST COPY AMAILABILE Table 3 Relative Proportions of Three Types of Infant Touching Upon Full Approach to .in ther vs. Observer

PERSON APPROACHED

iledian Per Cent Touching Type:	lother	Observer	Significance*
A11	25.0	18.0	n.s.
Social	25.0	13.0	.07
Highly social	11.2	0.0	.001

Willcoxon Test

Table 4 Relative Proportions of Four Types of Behavior in Proximity upon Full Approach to Mother

vs. Observer

BEHAVIORAL TYPE

iedian Per Cent of Approaches to:	Social	Object- Oriented	Social and Ob- ject Oriented	Mere Proximity
liother	58.0	9.0	4.0	28.0
Observer	32.9	33.0	7.0	17.0
Significance*	.01	.002	N.S.	N.S.

*Wilcoxon Test

